STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Re: Pittsfield Aqueduct Company, Inc. - Rate Case

DW 10-090

DIRECT PREFILED TESTIMONY OF BONALYN J. HARTLEY In Support of Temporary Rates

May 6, 2010

1 Professional and Educational Background

- 2 Q. Please state your name and position with Pittsfield Aqueduct
- 3 Company, Inc. (the "Company").
- 4 A. My name is Bonalyn J. Hartley and I am employed as the, Vice President
- 5 of Administration and Regulatory Affairs of the Company.
- 6 Q. Ms. Hartley, please state your professional and education
- 7 background.
- 8 A. Prior to my current position, I had served in various capacities including
- 9 Vice President-Controller, Manager of Systems and Administration and
- 10 Office Manager. I have been with Pennichuck Water Works, Inc., a
- 11 related entity, since 1979. In 1989, I attended the Annual Utility Rate
- 12 Seminar sponsored by the National Association of Regulatory
- 13 Commissioners and the University of Utah. I am a graduate of Rivier
- 14 College with a B.S. in Business Management. In addition, I am a Director
- 15 of the New England Chapter of the National Association of Water
- 16 Companies and serve on the Finance Committee of Home Health and
- 17 Hospice, Nashua, NH. I have testified before this Commission on behalf
- of many rate cases and regulatory matters for the Company.
- 19 Q. Ms. Hartley, what are your duties as Vice President of Administration
- 20 and Regulatory Affairs for the Company?
- 21 A. As Vice President of Administration and Regulatory Affairs, I am primarily
- responsible for the management of administrative services for the
- Company including regulatory affairs, information technology, human

resource functions and customer service. I also serve as a liaison to the accounting department particularly in the area of government and regulatory matters, system acquisitions and information technology.

4

5

17

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

- A. This testimony has been prepared to support the Company's request for a temporary rate increase of 19%, or an annual increase in revenues of \$115,355, based on proforma revenues of \$607,133, for the Pittsfield water system. My testimony regarding temporary rates will demonstrate that the Company's overall return is dramatically less than its last found authorized return on investment in DW 08-052.
- 12 Q. Why is the Company seeking temporary rates?
- 13 A. The Company is seeking a temporary rate increase in order to earn a

 14 reasonable return on the cost of the Company's property used and useful

 15 in the public service less accrued depreciation, as shown by the reports of

 16 the Company that have been filed with the Commission.

Analysis of Current Returns

- Q. Ms. Hartley, would you please recap the present returns authorized
 by this Commission.
- A. Yes. The Company is authorized to earn a return of 8.07% (see Order

 No. 25,051 dated December 11, 2009) but earned 4.12% or 395 basis

 points below its last found allowed return in the test year ending

 December 31, 2009. The pro forma test year reflects a 3.86% overall rate

1		of return demonstrating a decline of 374 basis points with a 7.60% rate of
2		return. At the end of February 2010, the Company's actual rate of return
3		further deteriorated to 2.95%
4	Q.	Please describe the changes to the Company's plant in service and
5		its earned return since its last rate case.
6	A.	The Company's plant in service as of December 31, 2007 increased by
7		\$82,000 to approximately \$3.8 million at the end of 2009. As part of this
8		filing, the Company is also proposing a step increase for mandated capital
9		improvements of \$183,000 for the Berry Pond Dam that will be completed
10		by December 2010.
11	Q.	Would you please explain Schedule A, Temporary Rates, entitled
12		"Pittsfield Aqueduct Company, Inc.", Computation of Revenue
13	*	Deficiency?
14	A.	Yes. Schedule A, Temporary Rates, which is attached to this temporary
15		rate testimony, was prepared to illustrate the Company's revenue
16		deficiency for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009,
17		which is the test year used in this docket. The calculation is based on
18		the following:
19		 actual thirteen month rate base of \$1,747,989
20		 current overall rate of return of 8.07%; and
21		 actual net operating income of \$72,033
22		As shown on Schedule A, Temporary Rates, the revenue deficiency for
23		the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 was \$114,307 utilizing the

- Company's 8.07% current overall rate of return. Based on that calculation alone, the Company would be entitled to an increase in rates on a temporary basis at a level that is 19.03% above its current level. This revenue deficiency calculation is strictly based on the Company's actual performance during the test year and includes no pro forma adjustments. Based on a pro forma test year, the Company would be entitled to a proposed 7.60% overall rate of return resulting in a revenue deficiency of \$121,328 and a proposed revenue increase of 19.98%.
- Q. Ms. Hartley, please explain the principal reasons for the decline in
 the Company's overall rate of return.

Α.

- The deterioration in the Company's overall rate of return is primarily due to a short-fall in water revenue due to a 12% decline in water usage since the Company's last filing in 2007. Operating expenses have increased by approximately \$59,000 over the December 2007 pro forma operating expense level due to increases in union payroll and related payroll benefits. Most notable are significant increases in property taxes dictated by the State of New Hampshire and the Town of Pittsfield which have increased by 116% or by approximately \$44,000 since the Company's last filing. In addition, there has been a significant increase of approximately \$40,000 for liability insurance assigned to two dams located in the Pittsfield water system.
- 22 Q. Ms. Hartley would you please explain Section 7, Schedule 3, entitled

1		"Pittsfield Aqueduct Company, Inc., Rate Base, For the Twelve
2		Months ended December 31, 2009?"
3	A.	The overall purpose of this schedule is to show the "test year 13 month
4		average" as compared to the "year end rate base" with pro forma
5		adjustments for the computation of rate base.
6	Q.	Ms. Hartley, were all of these capital expenditures included in this
7		schedule used and useful by December 31, 2009?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	Ms. Hartley, are you recommending a temporary rate increase for all
10		classes of customers?
11	A.	Yes. The Company is recommending that it collect the revenues from
12		each customer class in accordance with the recommendations for each
13		Customer Class as detailed in Section 9, Schedule P15 Temp Page 3 of 3
14		of the Cost of Service Study prepared by AUS Consultants, Inc. and
15		submitted with this case. This will result in the Company collecting 75% of
16		its required revenues from general metered water service (GWS), 3.35%
17		from Private Fire Revenue and 21.65% from Municipal Fire Revenues.
18		The above allocation will result in an average annual residential bill for a
19		single family home of approximately \$688 based on average usage of 71
20		one hundred cu. ft. This will represent an increase of \$9.77 per month for
21		residential customers over current rates.
22	Q.	Ms. Hartley, what steps will the Company take to notify customers of

its request for a rate increase?

1 A. In accordance with Puc 1203.02(c) and (d), the Company will be notifying
2 its customers regarding its rate case filing within thirty days of the
3 Company's initial filing. The Company has provided a form of notice as
4 Exhibit BH 1 to this testimony which, upon approval by the Commission's
5 Consumer Affairs Division, it proposes to include in mailings to customers
6 as part of its regular cycle billing.

Conclusion

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

Q. What level of temporary rates is the Company requesting and why?

The Company is requesting a level of temporary rates that is 19% higher than its current rates. As described above, this request is premised on a serious erosion of the Company's current ROI to 4.12% or 395 basis points lower than its currently allowed ROI at the overall rate of return of 8.07% for the test year ending December 31, 2009. The pro forma test year reflects the Company earning an overall rate of return of 3.86% or 374 basis points lower that the pro forma rate of return of 7.60%. The Company cannot continue to incur this magnitude of revenue loss going forward and, accordingly, it seeks approval of this temporary rate request. This increase will permit the Company to begin to earn a more reasonable return on its rate base investments and to recover increased operating expenses incurred during the test year. Furthermore, by approving temporary rates at the level requested, the need for a significant surcharge at the conclusion of the permanent rate case will also be greatly reduced.

- 1 Q. Ms. Hartley, when is the Company requesting that temporary rates
- 2 become effective?
- 3 A. The Company is requesting that temporary rates become effective for
- 4 service rendered as of June 6, 2010 or at the time customers are notified
- 5 of the pending increase, whichever is sooner.
- 6 Q. Does this conclude your testimony on temporary rates?
- 7 A. Yes it does.

Notice of Pre-Hearing Conference Petition for Increase in Rates

PITTSFIELD AQUEDUCT CO., INC.

XXXX XX, 2010 10:00 a.m.

Pittsfield Aqueduct Co., Inc. (PAC) has petitioned the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (Commission) for approval of an increase to its rates. PAC has asked for a temporary increase of 19% and an overall permanent increase of 25.29% which the company proposes implementing in two phases. PAC's request for this increase is based on significant increases in its capital and operating costs as well as investment in its treatment systems to ensure continued compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act. The increase would apply to all customers. The increase for PAC residential general metered customers will be as follows:

Avoidgo	Residential Ar Meter Charge	Volumetric Charge	Total
	5/8"		Annual
71ccf			
Current Rates	\$224.04	\$347.16	\$571.20
Proposed Temporary Rate	\$344.88	\$343.56	\$688.44
Proposed Permanent Rate	\$362.88	\$362.04	\$724.92

The Commission has scheduled a Pre-Hearing Conference to be held at the Commission's Offices in Concord on xxxx xx, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. At the Pre-Hearing Conference, the Commission will hear preliminary statements from PAC and other parties, and will consider requests for formal intervener status. The Commission will also take up the question of the schedule to govern the remainder of the case.

The Pre-Hearing Conference is open to the public. Customers and other interested parties are invited to attend the hearing and comment on the company's request. Those unable to attend the hearing may submit written comments by writing to the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission at 21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10, Concord NH 03301 or via e-mail at puc@puc.nh.gov Tel: (603) 271-2431 Fax: (603) 271-3878

For More Information Call: (603) 882-5191 or 1-800-553-5191

PITTSFIELD AQUEDUCT COMPANY, INC. COMPUTATION OF REVENUE DEFICIENCY For The Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2009

Schedule A Temporary

	т	TEST YEAR		PRO FORMA	PRO FORMA TEST YEAR	TE	VIPORARY (2)
Consolidated Rate Base (Sch 3)	\$	1,747,989	\$	214,049	\$ 1,962,038		
RATE of Return (1)		8.07%			7.60%		
Income Required	\$	141,063			\$ 149,023		
Adjusted Net Operating Income (Sch 1)	\$	72,033	\$	3,720	\$ 75,753		
Deficiency	\$	69,030			\$ 73,270		
Tax Factor		60.39%			60.39%		
Revenue Deficiency	\$	114,307			\$ 121,328	\$	115,355
Water Revenues	\$	600,603	\$	6,531	\$ 607,133		
Proposed Revenue Inc		19.03%			19.98%		19.00%
New Revenue Levels						\$	722,489
Actual Rate of Return		4.12%			3.86%		

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Test Year reflects last authorized rate of return from Order 25,051 (DW 08-052).

⁽²⁾ Temporary proposed rate increase is based on the % test year revenue deficiency rounded.

Pro Forma Schedule 9 Temporary

DOCKET NO:	DW 10-090			DATE FILED:	5/6/2010	
TARIFF NO.:	5	or PAGE NOS.	38-40 and 44	EFF. DATE:	6/6/2010	

Proposed Change

Rate or Class of Service	Effect of Proposed Change	Average Number of Customers	Pre	esent Rates	Prop	osed Rates	Amount	<u>%</u>
G-M	Increase	636	\$	463,908	\$	541,802	\$ 77,894	16.79%
Private FP	Increase	11	\$	21,930	\$	24,203	\$ 2,273	10.37%
FP - Hydrants	Increase	1	\$	121,295	\$	156,417	\$ 35,122	28.96%
TOTALS		648	\$	607,133	\$	722,422	\$115,289	18.99%

Note:

The Proposed rates and the amount of the increase is based on the Cost of Service Study. In the Study, a slight difference is noted in the allocation of the proposed revenue vs. the revenue requirement reflected in the rate filing schedules.

Signed by:		
	Bonalyn J. Hartley	
Title:	Vice President - Administration	

Pro Forma Schedule 9 Permanent

DOCKET NO:	DW 10-090				DAT	E FILED:	5/6/2010	
TARIFF NO.:	5	or PAGE NOS.	38-4	0 and 44	EFF.	DATE:	6/6/2010	
Rate or Class	Effect of Proposed	<u>Average</u> Number of					Proposed Ch	<u>ange</u>
of Service	Change	Customers	Pr	esent Rates	Pro	posed Rates	<u>Amount</u>	<u>%</u>
G-M Private FP	Increase Increase	636 11	\$	463,908 21,930	\$ \$	546,340 24,433	\$ 82,432 2,503	17.77% 11.41%
FP - Hydrants	Increase	1	\$	121,295	\$	157,684	\$ 36,389	30.00%
TOTALS		648	\$	607,133	\$	728,456	\$121,323	19.98%

Note:

The Proposed rates and the amount of the increase is based on the Cost of Service Study. In the Study, a slight difference is noted in the allocation of the proposed revenue vs. the revenue requirement reflected in the rate filing schedules.

Signed by:		
	Bonalyn J. Hartley	
Title:	Vice President - Administration	

Pro Forma Schedule 9 Step

DOCKET NO:	DW 10-090			DATE FILED:	5/6/2010	
TARIFF NO.:	5	or PAGE NOS.	38-40 and 44	EFF. DATE:	6/6/2010	
		_				

				Proposed Change				
Rate or Class of Service	Effect of Proposed Change	Average Number of Customers	Pre	sent Rates	Prop	oosed Rates	Amount	<u>%</u>
G-M	Increase	636	\$	463,908	\$	488,136	\$24,228	5.22%
Private FP	Increase	11	\$	21,930	\$	22,980	\$1,050	4.79%
FP - Hydrants	Increase	1	\$	121,295	\$	128,302	\$7,007	5.78%
TOTALS	_	648	\$	607,133	\$	639,419	\$32,286	5.32%

Note:

The Proposed rates and the amount of the increase is based on the Cost of Service Study. In the Study, a slight difference is noted in the allocation of the proposed revenue vs. the revenue requirement reflected in the rate filing schedules.

Signed by:

Bonalyn J. Hartley

Title: Vice President - Administration

Pro Forma Schedule 9 Combined

DOCKET NO:	DW 10-090				DAT	TE FILED:		5/6/2010	
TARIFF NO.:	5	or PAGE NOS.	38-4	10 and 44	EFF	F. DATE:		6/6/2010	
Rate or Class	Effect of Proposed	<u>Average</u> Number of						Proposed Ch	ange
of Service	Change	Customers	Pr	esent Rates	Pro	oposed Rates	4.5	Amount	<u>%</u>
									_
G-M	Increase	636	\$	463,908	\$	570,568	\$	106,660	22.99%
Private FP	Increase	11	\$	21,930	\$	25,483	\$	3,553	16.20%
FP - Hydrants	Increase	1	\$	121,295	\$	164,691	\$	43,396	35.78%
TOTALS		648	\$	607,133	\$	760,742		\$153,609	25.30%

Note:

The Proposed rates and the amount of the increase is based on the Cost of Service Study. In the Study, a slight difference is noted in the allocation of the proposed revenue vs. the revenue requirement reflected in the rate filing schedules.

Signed by:

Bonalyn J. Hartley

Title: Vice President - Administration